



# Local Plan Review

## Leeds Local Plan

July 2020

# Contents

1. Summary

2 Main issues

3 Review outcomes

Annex 1 - Policy Review Table

# Summary

Local Authorities are required by the Town and Country Planning Act (England) Regulations 2012 to review the policies that make up the Local Plan, every five years. This exercise has been undertaken and is shown in Appendix 1.

The current Leeds Local Plan is a set of five Development Plan Documents (DPDs) mainly covering the period between 2012 and 2028, but with some policies covering up to 2033. The Core Strategy sets the overall strategic framework for development in Leeds and is underpinned by other DPDs covering Natural Resources and Waste and site allocations. A number of years have passed since the Core Strategy (CS) was adopted in 2014. Whilst it was subject to an update in 2019 this was selective and focussed largely on housing, leaving much of the remainder untouched. Similarly the Natural Resources and Waste Plan was adopted in 2013 and since then, despite it ensuring good progress regarding the management of the natural environment, the declaration of a Climate Emergency in Leeds is a driver to update it.

Within the context of national planning guidance, evidence and local priorities, it is crucial therefore that the local plan is kept up to date and subject to regular review, to ensure it remains fit for purpose in providing certainty for communities and investors.

Since January 2020, a review of extant Local Plan policies has been undertaken. This helps show how existing policies are performing, their conformity with national guidance and whether an update needs to be considered. There are over 250 policies in the Local Plan and it is not proposed that they all need to be updated. Some, such as those updated by Core Strategy Selective Review, Site Allocations Plan and AVLAAP, are very recently adopted and remain up to date.

## Main issues

1. The Local Planning Authority has a legal obligation to undertake a review of its Local Plan every five years in accordance with Regulation 10A of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) and further set out in paragraph 33 of the National Planning Policy Framework, (NPPF, 2019). This is the first review that Leeds has undertaken under the new legislation. The use of the word “review” by Government in this context is to consider policies every 5 years and see whether they need to be updated; not the process of such an update.
2. The Leeds Local Plan is a part of the overall Development Plan for Leeds and is comprised of a number of adopted documents: the saved policies in the Unitary Development Plan (2006); Core Strategy (2014 and amended 2019); the Natural Resources and Waste Development Plan Document (2013 and revised 2015); the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (2017); the Site Allocations Plan (2019). Each of the documents cover slightly different plan periods.
3. The focus of the review of Local Plan policies is not on those policies that have been recently adopted as they are not yet 5 years old. Instead the focus is on the saved policies of the UDP (2006), policies in the Core Strategy (2014) which were not part of the Selective Review in 2019 and the Natural Resources and Waste DPD (2013 and revised 2015).
4. The aim of this report is to demonstrate that the review of the Leeds Local Plan has been undertaken in a robust and objective way. The approach taken is to follow the guidance in the MHCLG Guidance on “plan-making” (2019). This provides details on what reviews should address; namely changes in National Policy or local circumstances. Where a Local Planning Authority needs to amend one or more policies, it should update its Local Development Scheme to set out the timetable for when these amendments will be consulted upon and examined. The LDS was last updated in January 2020.
5. In undertaking a review of the Local Plan it allows us to understand which policies remain up to date for the purposes of decision making or where circumstances may have changed and whether or not the policy / policies in the Plans continue to be effective in addressing the specific local issues that are identified in them. This in turn helps to focus on whether and to what extent, an update of the policies is required.
6. Emphasis has been placed on the documents of the Local Plan where the plan period has expired and where the 5 year review period (post adoption), as required by the NPPF, has been reached. This focuses attention on the Saved Policies of the UDP (2006-2016) (policies saved by the Secretary of State in 2007 and 2009), the Natural Resources and Waste DPD (2013 2028) and the Core Strategy (2014-2028). There are a total of 185 policies across these three documents and an assessment of each one has been undertaken. Whilst this excludes the Core Strategy Selective Review (CSSR), Site Allocations Plan (SAP) and the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) from the review because they are all less than 5 years old, the guidance is clear that should

significant changes occur, a need for a review may be triggered before 5 years has elapsed.

7. In that context the LDS notes that there is provision under Policy HGR1 of the recently adopted SAP, to undertake a SAP update for housing and safeguarded land, which will be subject of its own plan-making process. Similarly, the LDS notes that there is a need to undertake an update of the adopted AVLAAP in relation to the High Speed 2 rail line and the city station interchange.
8. The assessment of the policies in the Leeds Local Plan has been captured in a database. The outcomes have been translated into the table in Annex 1 of this report. This provides a summary of whether the policy is considered to be up-to-date; in line with the NPPF (2019); still relevant and effective and whether there is any change to the evidence. A short commentary is included within the table which in turn has fed into the conclusion on whether the policy needs to be updated/amended or not.
9. The MHCLG Guidance states that if a local planning authority decides, as a result of the review, that they do not need to update their policies, they must also publish the reasons for this decision within 5 years of the adoption date of the plan. The table in Annex 1 identifies those policies within the scope of the current review that in the Council's opinion do not need updating as well as identifying those that require update / amendment.
10. This process and its conclusions are important in considering the weight to be given to policies in the Local Plan in decision making. It is important to note that not all policies become out of date or ineffective by the passage of time as this will depend on local circumstances and a plan does not become out-of-date automatically after 5 years. The review process is a method of ensuring that a plan and the policies within it remain effective. Applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Due weight should be given to relevant policies in existing plans according to their consistency with the National Planning Policy Framework. It remains the case (in line with the National Planning Practice Guidance) that it is up to the Local Planning Authority to decide the weight to give to planning policies.
11. Neighbourhood Plans form a part of the overall statutory development plan for Leeds but not the Local Plan. They are subject to their own review and update process, which will be driven by local Neighbourhood Planning groups and supported by the Council.

## Review Outcomes

12. The assessment of 185 policies has identified a number of policies that require update / amendment of varying scale and degree. The level of update /amendment required can be grouped into three broad categories, as a result of the following:
  - changes in evidence base: which requires refreshed evidence that may
  - lead to a potential need for a policy update; changes in national policy and local circumstances: particularly to align with updates to the NPPF, 2019. These may lead to a potential need for a policy update;
  - changes in local circumstances: particularly to align with priorities in relation to the Climate Emergency Declaration, Inclusive Growth Strategy, health and well-being and infrastructure. These may lead to a potential need for a policy update.
13. The table in Annex 1 is relatively self-explanatory but the paragraphs below aim to set out the general scale of policies that the review concludes need amending. As stated earlier in this report this is a technical exercise and no decision is being made as to when or how policies are to be updated following their consideration through this review.
14. There are 70 of the 185 policies that do not need to be updated (either by amendment or superseding). These will be reviewed again in five years. In line with the NPPF, if there are changes in national policy and local circumstances before the next review then an earlier review will be under taken.
15. There are 115 policies that need to be considered for update. It should be noted that the majority of these are non-strategic policies and any policy updates would be to provide minor or contextual updates to be consistent with the wording in the NPPF, but the general policy intent remains in general conformity with the NPPF and can continue to be applied in the determination of planning applications, albeit, as necessary with NPPF as context.
16. The summary responses set out in Annex 1 illustrate the policy by policy nuances in this regard. In addition there are a number of strategic policies, including some of the Spatial Policies of the Core Strategy where the evidence base has changed or needs refreshing (i.e. the employment land assessment; strategic flood risk assessment, retail needs study) or where changes in local circumstances related to the Council Priorities around the Climate Emergency, Leeds Inclusive Growth Strategy, Health and Well-being and infrastructure (e.g. HS2) need to be reflected.

## ANNEX 1 - Summary table of Leeds Local Plan Review, July 2020

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	GENERAL POLICY		Achieving sustainable development	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 1	LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT	Achieving sustainable development	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 2	HIERARCHY OF CENTRES AND SPATIAL APPROACH TO RETAILING, OFFICES, INTENSIVE LEISURE AND CULTURE	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 3	ROLE OF LEEDS CITY CENTRE	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 4	REGENERATION PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS	Achieving sustainable development	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed (including current Indices of Multiple Deprivation and priority neighbourhoods), despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 5	AIRE VALLEY LEEDS URBAN ECO-SETTLEMENT	Plan-making	Yes (potentially as part of consideration of SP4) Policy SP5 acts as strategic acknowledgement of the importance of the Aire Valley as a major area of development in Leeds but is implemented by the AVLAAP.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 8	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 9	PROVISION FOR OFFICES, INDUSTRY AND WAREHOUSE EMPLOYMENT LAND AND PREMISES	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 10	GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 11	TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PRIORITIES	Promoting sustainable transport	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 12	MANAGING THE GROWTH OF LEEDS BRADFORD INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	Promoting sustainable transport	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	SPATIAL POLICY 13	STRATEGIC GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY CC1	CITY CENTRE DEVELOPMENT	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY CC2	CITY CENTRE SOUTH	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	



LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	POLICY CC3	IMPROVING CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN THE CITY CENTRE AND NEIGHBOURING COMMUNITIES	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
CS 2014	POLICY H1	MANAGED RELEASE OF SITES	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY H2	NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON NON ALLOCATED SITES	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY H3	DENSITY OF RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	Making effective use of land	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY H4	HOUSING MIX	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY H6	HOUSES IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION (HMOS), STUDENT ACCOMMODATION AND FLAT CONVERSIONS	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update
CS 2014	POLICY H7	ACCOMMODATION FOR GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOW PEOPLE	Gypsies and travellers	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY H8	HOUSING FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY EC1	GENERAL EMPLOYMENT LAND	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	POLICY EC2	OFFICE DEVELOPMENT	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF See SP9	
CS 2014	POLICY EC3	SAFEGUARDING EXISTING EMPLOYMENT LAND AND INDUSTRIAL AREAS	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
CS 2014	POLICY P1	TOWN AND LOCAL CENTRE DESIGNATIONS	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	No. There is a minor reference update required (minor modification)	Minor Mod/ Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY P2	ACCEPTABLE USES IN AND ON THE EDGE OF TOWN CENTRES	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Consider superseding, as it is simply a list of town centre uses, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY P3	ACCEPTABLE USES IN AND ON THE EDGE OF LOCAL CENTRES	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. As Policy P2, consider superseding first part of policy. Need to consider updating second part of policy to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY P4	SHOPPING PARADES AND SMALL SCALE STAND ALONE FOOD STORES SERVING LOCAL NEIGHBOURHOODS AND COMMUNITIES	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Consider superseding, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF, as it doesn't go beyond what other policies say.	
CS 2014	POLICY P5	APPROACH TO ACCOMMODATING NEW FOOD STORES ACROSS LEEDS	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
CS 2014	POLICY P6	APPROACH TO ACCOMMODATING NEW COMPARISON SHOPPING	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Consider superseding, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF, as it doesn't go beyond what other policies say.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
		IN TOWN AND LOCAL CENTRES			
CS 2014	POLICY P7	THE CREATION OF NEW CENTRES	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY P8	SEQUENTIAL AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS FOR MAIN TOWN CENTRE USES*	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	No. There is a minor reference update required (part D) (minor modification)	Minor Mod/ Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY P9	COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND OTHER SERVICES	Promoting healthy and safe communities	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY P10	DESIGN	Achieving well-designed places	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY P11	CONSERVATION	Conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Minor modification for wording update. Opportunity to rationalise relevant UDP policies.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY P12	LANDSCAPE	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY T1	TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT	Promoting sustainable transport	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY T2	ACCESSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS AND NEW DEVELOPMENT	Achieving well-designed places	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	POLICY G1	ENHANCING AND EXTENDING GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY G2	CREATION OF NEW TREE COVER	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence is refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY G3	STANDARDS FOR OPEN SPACE, SPORT AND RECREATION	Promoting healthy and safe communities	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY G7	CEMETERIES AND BURIAL SPACE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY G8	PROTECTION OF IMPORTANT SPECIES AND HABITATS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Minor modification required in terminology	Minor Mod/ Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY G9	BIODIVERSITY IMPROVEMENTS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY EN1	CLIMATE CHANGE – CARBON DIOXIDE REDUCTION	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
CS 2014	POLICY EN3	LOW CARBON ENERGY	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
CS 2014	POLICY EN5	MANAGING FLOOD RISK	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. A new SFRA needs to be undertaken.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY EN6	STRATEGIC WASTE MANAGEMENT	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
CS 2014	POLICY EN7	MINERALS	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY ID1	IMPLEMENTATION AND DELIVERY MECHANISMS	Plan-making	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
CS 2014	POLICY ID2	PLANNING OBLIGATIONS AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS	decision-making	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	GENERAL POLICY 1		Achieving sustainable development	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 1	PROVISION OF AGGREGATES	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 2	MINERAL SAFEGUARDING AREAS - SAND AND GRAVEL	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	See Minerals 1. Any change in targets may require reassessment of safeguarded sites.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 3	MINERAL SAFEGUARDING AREAS - SURFACE COAL	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	See Minerals 1. Any change in targets may require reassessment of safeguarded sites.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 4	SAFEGUARDED EXISTING MINERAL EXTRACTION SITES	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 5	MINERAL EXTRACTION - SAND AND GRAVEL - AREA OF SEARCH	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 6	LIMITING SAND AND GRAVEL EXTRACTION IN THE WHARFE VALLEY	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 7	PREFERRED AREAS - STONE AND CLAY EXTRACTION	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 8	PROVISION OF STONE FOR REPAIRS AND REFURBISHMENT OF EXISTING BUILDINGS	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 9	APPLICATIONS FOR MINERAL DEVELOPMENT	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Review
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 10	RESTORATION OF MINERAL SITES	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 11	AFTERCARE OF RESTORED PROPOSALS	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 12	SAFEGUARDED MINERAL PROCESSING SITES	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 13	TRANSPORT MODES	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	MINERALS 14	CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT ON	Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
		PROTECTED WHARVES AND RAIL SIDINGS			
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 1	SELF SUFFICIENCY FOR FUTURE WASTE MANAGEMENT IN LEEDS	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 2	SAFEGUARDING EXISTING WASTE MANAGEMENT CAPACITY	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 3	A CITY WIDE NETWORK OF WASTE MANAGEMENT SITES AND FACILITIES	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 4	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES - PERMANENT USES	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 5	WASTE USES WITHIN EXISTING INDUSTRIAL AREAS	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 6	STRATEGIC WASTE MANAGEMENT SITES	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 7	WASTE ALLOCATION	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 8	WASTE PROPOSALS AT OTHER LOCATIONS	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 9	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES - POTENTIAL ISSUES AND IMPACTS	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 10	LANDFILL	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WASTE 11	WASTE DISPOSAL: LANDFILL AND LANDRAISING SITES	NPPW	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	ENERGY 1	LARGE SCALE WIND ENERGY GENERATION	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	ENERGY 2	MICRO-GENERATION DEVELOPMENT	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	ENERGY 3	HEAT AND POWER ENERGY RECOVERY	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	ENERGY 4	HEAT DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	AIR 1	THE MANAGEMENT OF AIR QUALITY THROUGH DEVELOPMENT	Promoting healthy and safe communities	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 1	WATER EFFICIENCY	Meeting the challenge of climate change & flooding	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 2	PROTECTION OF WATER QUALITY	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 3	FUNCTIONAL FLOOD PLAIN	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 4	DEVELOPMENT IN FLOOD RISK AREAS	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years



LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 5	ZONES OF RAPID INUNDATION	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 6	FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENTS	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	WATER 7	SURFACE WATER RUN-OFF	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	LAND 1	CONTAMINATED LAND	Making effective use of land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
NRWDPD Jan 2013 Rev 2015	LAND 2	DEVELOPMENT AND TREES	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GP1	LAND USE AND THE PROPOSALS MAP	decision-making	No. Minor modification required in terminology	Minor Mod/ Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GP5	REQUIREMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS	decision-making	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GP6	UNIMPLEMENTED LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS	Plan-making	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF A range of site commitments and other improvements carried forward into the UDP from previous local plans. Those that have completed and or have become inappropriate are redundant. Others remain extant and relevant. Separate assessments have been made of all the individual commitments .Sites and designations that are relevant have already been carried forward into the Site Allocations Plan 2019. Other sites and designations that are no longer appropriate can be superseded.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N8	URBAN GREEN CORRIDORS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N9	URBAN GREEN CORRIDORS AND DEVELOPMENT	Promoting healthy and safe communities	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Considered ineffective as policy intent covered by CS G1. Potential to supersede.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N11	OPEN LAND IN BUILT UP AREAS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider evidence base refresh.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N14	LISTED BUILDING AND PRESERVATION	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N15	LISTED BUILDINGS AND CHANGE OF USE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N16	LISTED BUILDINGS AND EXTENSIONS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N17	LISTED BUILDINGS CHARACTER AND APPEARANCE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N18A	CONSERVATION AREAS AND DEMOLITION	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N18B	CONSERVATION AREAS AND DEMOLITION	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N19	CONSERVATION AREAS NEW BUILDINGS	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N20	CONSERVATION AREAS AND RETENTION OF FEATURES	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N23	DEVELOPMENT AND INCIDENTAL OPEN SPACE	Achieving well-designed places	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P10.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N24	DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS NEXT TO GREEN BELT / CORRIDORS	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N25	DEVELOPMENT AND SITE BOUNDARIES	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N27	VACANT SITES AND LANDSCAPING SCHEMES	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N28	HISTORIC PARKS AND GARDENS	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N29	SITES OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N32	GREEN BELT AND THE PROPOSALS MAP	Protecting Green Belt land	Plan period has passed but policy considered to be upto date, in conformity with NPPF and relevant to decision making. Consider Minor Modification to terminology.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N33	DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N35	DEVELOPMENT AND AGRICULTURAL LAND	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N36	CHANGE OF USE OF RURAL BUILDINGS	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N37	SPECIAL LANDSCAPE AREAS	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N37A	DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTRYSIDE	conserving and enhancing the natural environment	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N39B	WATERCOURSES AND NEW DEVELOPMENT	Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	N43	INFORMAL OUTDOOR RECREATION	Promoting healthy and safe communities	Yes. Consider superseding as other CS G and UDP N33 policies cover policy intent.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T10A	SAFEGUARD FORMER RAIL LINES	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T16	PARK AND RIDE FACILITIES	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T17	PARK AND RIDE SITES	Promoting sustainable transport	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshing, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T20	MAJOR HIGHWAY SCHEMES	Promoting sustainable transport	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence base refreshing, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Those schemes that have completed are redundant.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T29	LORRY PARKING AND COACH LAYOVER	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	H14	AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN RURAL AREAS	Delivering a sufficient supply of homes	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	E3A	RENEWAL OF PLANNING PERMISSIONS	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	E3B	UNIMPLEMENTED EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS	Building a strong, competitive economy		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	E3C	COMMITTED EMPLOYMENT SITES	Building a strong, competitive economy		

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	E4	EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS	Building a strong, competitive economy		Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT3	ATTRACTIONS AND FACILITIES IN THE CITY CENTRE	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. The policy is promotional in nature and adds little to the general statement referring to promoting the tourism industry set out in CS policy SP8. Consider superseding.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT4	CULTURAL AND SPORTING FACILITIES LOCATIONS	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT5	PURPOSE BUILT EXHIBITIONS. CONCERTS AND CONFERENCE FACILITIES	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT5A	ELLAND ROAD FOOTBALL STADIUMS	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Parts of the area have been delivered which makes the policy redundant, however some areas of development potential remain.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT5B	LEISURE AND TOURISM FACILITIES SITES	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Schemes that have completed and or have become inappropriate are redundant.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT6	WATERWAYS CORRIDORS AND TOURISM	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Promotional policy, consider potential to supersede.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT6A	WATERWAYS AND LEISURE DEVELOPMENTS	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LT6B	WATERWAYS AND PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY	Building a strong, competitive economy	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	R2	PROPOSED AREA BASED INITIATIVES	Achieving sustainable development	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Some of the area priorities are covered by CS Policy SP4.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	R3	COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDERS	Achieving sustainable development	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	CC2	CITY CENTRE BOUNDARY AND POLICY AREA	Ensuring the vitality of town centres	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence refreshed, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See CS CC1 and CC2.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	CC24	BAD NEIGHBOUR AND LARGE SCALE INDUSTRIAL USES	Building a strong, competitive economy	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider superseding as policies such as GP5 can appropriately address amenity issues if a proposal were to come forward.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T30:14.2.7	AIRPORT OPERATIONAL LAND BOUNDARY	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T30A	LEEDS / BRADFORD AIRPORT AND RELATED USES	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	



LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T30B	AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY ZONES	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	T30C	AERODROME SAFEGUARDING AREA	Promoting sustainable transport	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	RL1	RURAL LAND NORTH OF THE RIVER WHARFE	Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD2	DESIGN AND SITING OF NEW BUILDINGS	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD3	DISABLED ACCESS NEW BUILDINGS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD4	PLANT EQUIPMENT AND SERVICE AREAS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD5	AMENITY AND NEW BUILDINGS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD6	ALTERATIONS AND EXTENSIONS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD7	SHOP FRONTS AND SECURITY MEASURES	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD8	DESIGN AND LOCATION OF SIGNS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD9	PROJECTING AND ILLUMINATED SIGNS	Achieving well-designed places		

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD10	BANNERS AND TEMPORARY ADVERTISING	Achieving well-designed places	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD11	BLINDS FORMS AND DESIGN	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD12	ADVERTISEMENT HOARDINGS	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD14	FLOODLIGHTING	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BD15	PUBLIC ART	Achieving well-designed places		
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BC7	DEVELOPMENT IN CONSERVATION AREAS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	BC8	DEMOLITION OF BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION AREAS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology. See also CS P11.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LD1	LANDSCAPING SCHEMES	Achieving well-designed places	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	LD2	NEW AND ALTERED ROADS	Achieving well-designed places	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC1	SCHEDULED ANCIENT MONUMENTS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC4	PRESERVATION OF CLASS I and II AREAS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence refreshing, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC5	PLANNING DECISIONS AND CLASS I, II and III AREAS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence refreshing, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC6	PRESERVATION BY RECORD	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible and evidence refreshing, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC7	HISTORIC LANDSCAPES	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Consider superseding as policy aim not covered by NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	ARC8	MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS	conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Yes. Consider superseding as policy aim not covered by NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB2	INFILLING THE GREENBELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB3	CHANGE OF USE FOR A BUILDING OF HISTORIC OR ARCHITECTURAL INTEREST	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Consider superseding as UDP GB4 and GB9 cover intent of policy.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB4	CHANGE OF USE OF BUILDINGS	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB7	MAJOR DEVELOPED SITES IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Consider superseding as policy aim not covered by NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB9	REDEVELOPMENT OF BUILDINGS	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB12	RETAIL DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Consider superseding as policy intent covered by other parts of NPPF and CS retail policies.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB13	STABLES AND EQUESTRIAN DEVELOPMENT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB17	CRITERIA FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Consider superseding as policy intent covered by UDP policy H14.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB19	OUTDOOR SPORT AND RECREATION	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Consider superseding as policy intent covered by other CS G policies.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB20	BUILDINGS FOR SPORT AND RECREATION	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF. Consider minor modifications to terminology.	

LEEDS LOCAL PLAN DOCUMENT	POLICY REF.	POLICY TITLE	NPPF TOPIC	Consider updating	Recommendation
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB21	HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION	Protecting Green Belt land	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB22	HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION AND MINOR WORKS	Protecting Green Belt land	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB23	STORAGE OF CARAVANS IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Consider as part of the Local Plan Update.
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB24	ALLOTMENT GARDENS IN THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	Yes. Need to consider updating to ensure local priorities are as fully reflected as possible, despite being considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	
UDP 2006 (SAVED)	GB25	GARDEN EXTENSIONS INTO THE GREEN BELT	Protecting Green Belt land	No. Considered up-to-date and in conformity with NPPF.	Review again in 5 years